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Abstract

Similar to biometric fingerprint recognition, characteristic minutiae points – here end- and branch points – can be extracted from skeletonized veins to distinguish individuals. An approach to extract those vein minutiae and to transform them into a fixed-length, translation and scale invariant representation where rotations can be easily compensated is presented in this paper. The proposed solution based on spectral minutiae is evaluated against other comparison strategies on three different datasets of wrist and palm vein samples. It shows a competitive biometric performance while producing features that are compatible with state-of-the-art template protection systems.

1. Introduction

Thought to be a robust approach for liveness detection in fingerprint and hand geometry systems, vein recognition evolved to an independent biometric modality over the last decade [3]. Vein recognition systems are exploiting specific light absorption properties of deoxygenated blood flowing in subcutaneous blood vessels. Because venous blood absorbs the near infrared light emitted by LEDs in the vein sensor, the veins become visible as dark tubular structures on the image. Alternatively a far infrared approach – where heat radiation of the blood vessels is measured – can be used [19]. Vein patterns evolve during the embryonic vasculogenesis. Their final structure is mostly influenced by random factors [8]. Even though scientific research about the uniqueness of vein patterns is sparse, many resources state that vein patterns are unique among individuals [2, 21]. It is also expected, that the position of veins is constant over a whole lifetime [6]. Offering the same user convenience as fingerprints while being highly secure against spoofing, vein recognition has been applied in different fields of access control during the last years. Because vein scanners work contact-less they are considered to be more hygienic than systems requiring direct physical contact. This makes vein recognition particularly suited for applications in public environments.

Due to the fact, that the network of blood vessels forming the vein patterns is located underneath the skin, a person’s individual vein patterns is hard to forge; no latent prints are left unintentionally. Besides this privacy protecting property, there are also privacy concerns reported in vein recognition systems since disease patterns can be read from the biometrics information [10]. There are some attempts to prevent the proliferation of sensitive data from biometric
The invariant representation is given in section 3. The follow-

ing section 4 is showing the feasibility of the approach with experiments, there details about the database and the performance evaluation can be found. The last section concludes the paper and points out future work.

2. Proposed Biometric Vascular Pattern Recognition System

The biometric system based on vein data is going to be introduced in this section. One challenge in vein recognition systems is to cope with noisy and low contrast images caused by the sensor. Vein image quality is subject to several factors like ambient light, air temperature, skin color and varying thicknesses of the fingers. As a result of all these factors the sensor delivers images suffering from an unfavorable signal to noise ratio, low contrast and non-uniform brightness. The vein recognition system has to cope with global and local contrast changes in the image, while suppressing noise. Therefore sophisticated image processing is indispensable in order to improve the images. The enhanced images must be segmented before an image skeleton representing the topological structure of the data subject’s veins can be extracted. Minutiae feature points are extracted from the skeleton and will form the input to the spectral minutiae post processing which is introduced in section 3.

2.1. Preprocessing

The proposed solution is not performing a region of interest (ROI) selection to be as generic as possible. Therefore the first step in the preprocessing pipeline is the contrast enhancement. For the proposed system the images are first enhanced by using adaptive non-local means, successfully applied to face recognition in [15], followed by the noise suppressing and edge enhancing nonlinear diffusion algorithm [1]. At this point the image is inverted such that veins now appear as high intensity pixels, while tissue between the veins appear as low intensity. The results of the algorithm applied to a raw wrist vein image can be seen in Figure 1(b).

2.2. Segmentation

The multi-scale filter method by Frangi et. al. [9], designed for simultaneous noise and background suppression in medical imaging of vessels, is used as a segmentation method on the vein images. The method searches for tubular structures in the image by analyzing the second order information (Hessian). The second order derivative of a Gaussian kernel generates a probe kernel that can measure contrast inside a defined range in the direction of the derivative. An eigenvalue analysis of the Hessian gives the direction of smallest curvature and therefore the direction of the vessel, the eigenvalues can be used to classify pixels as vessel
Figure 1. Sample wrist vein image [14] after different stages of the preprocessing pipeline of the proposed system: (a) raw, cropped vein image; (b) contrast enhanced image; (c) segmentation of an optimized vein pattern image; (d) skeletonization of segmented image; (e) overlay of extracted minutiae points and skeleton. Green stars: endpoints, red circles: branch points or background. Figure 1(c) shows the effect of the method applied to the optimized image.

2.3. Skeletonization

Skeletons are extracted by the fast marching skeletonization algorithm [16]. One advantage is the built-in pruning method, which allows cutting off certain branches from the image skeleton. In fast marching skeletonization incremental indices are assigned to each pixel on the edge of the figure. Then they are collapsed until only the center line remains. From the difference between two neighboring indices, it can be concluded, how close a skeleton branch is to the center of the figure. The difference between indices at fine-grained branches at the edge of the image skeleton is small, whereas it is large in the center part. These fine-grained branches are most likely artifacts, which were introduced by segmentation errors or noise and can be removed by applying a threshold. All skeleton points where the difference between their indices falls below the threshold are deleted. All other points are kept. Hence, depending on the threshold, more or less of these remote branches are cut off. One example is shown in figure 1(d).

2.4. Feature Point Detection

After extracting the skeletal representation from a vein image, the specific feature points have to be extracted. An efficient and reliable method based on a convolution approach is proposed in [13] and is used here. It is based on convoluting the binary image with a filter consisting of unique power of two values to get unique filter responses for every pattern in the mask size. The end- as well as the branch points of the vascular skeleton can be found by searching for their pre-computed filter response values in the filter response of the image. The extracted minutiae points are overlayed with the corresponding skeleton in Figure 1(e).

3. Spectral Minutiae

The spectral minutiae representation is a method to represent a fingerprint minutiae set as a fixed-length feature vector, which is invariant to translation, rotation and scaling. These characteristics enable the combination of fingerprint recognition systems with template protection schemes based on the HDS and allow for fast minutiae-based matching as well. Considering the similar characteristics of the vein minutiae and fingerprint minutiae patterns, we applied this method to the vein minutiae features, in order to be able to combine vein pattern recognition with the HDS based template protection schemes.

In this paper, we applied the location-based spectral minutiae representation (SML) approach presented in [20] in order to code the vein minutiae location information. We first give an overview of the SML approach.

3.1. SML Approach

Assume we have a fingerprint or vein pattern with $Z$ minutiae. In SML, we code the minutiae locations by indicator functions,

$$m(x, y; \sigma_L^2) = \sum_{i=1}^{Z} \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_L^2} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-x_i)^2 + (y-y_i)^2}{2\sigma_L^2}\right),$$

with $(x_i, y_i)$ the location of the $i$-th minutia in the image. Thus, in the spatial domain, each minutia is represented by an isotropic two-dimensional Gaussian function, illustrated in figure 2(b).

Taking the Fourier transform of $m(x, y; \sigma_L^2)$ and keeping only the magnitude of the Fourier spectrum (in order to make the spectrum invariant to translation of the input), we obtain the location-based spectral minutiae representation


3.2. Comparison

Two different comparison strategies are considered, the direct matching and the fast rotation shift search. They are described in the this section.

3.2.1 Direct Matching

Let \( R(m, n) \) and \( T(m, n) \) be the two sampled minutiae spectra in the polar-logarithmic domain respectively achieved from the reference sample and test sample – originating from a fingerprint or a vein source. Both \( R(m, n) \) and \( T(m, n) \) are normalized to have zero mean and unit energy. As a similarity score, the normalized cross-correlation with zero lag of two minutiae spectra was chosen, which is a common similarity measure in image processing. Therefore, the SML correlation (SMLC) as similarity score between \( R \) and \( T \) is defined as:

\[
S_{\text{DM}}^{(R,T)} = \frac{1}{MN} \sum_{m,n} R(m,n)T(m,n). \tag{3}
\]

3.2.2 Fast Rotation Shift Searching

Rotations might not only be a problem in fingerprint recognition but as well in vein recognition, depending on the capture device used for the acquirement. The fast rotation shift search algorithm makes a costly normalization of the minutiae points unnecessary by compensating for rotations by testing several rotated spectra. Because we applied the polar-logarithmic transform to the Fourier spectra, the rotation becomes the circular shift in the horizontal direction in our minutiae spectra. We chose to test rotations from \(-10^\circ\) to \(+10^\circ\) as starting points, which corresponds to circular shifts from -15 units to +15 units in the polar-logarithmic domain.

Let \( T_k(m, n) \) be defined as \( T(m, n) \) with a circular shift \( k \) in the horizontal direction. For each shift trial, a new similarity score \( S^{(R,T_k)} \) is calculated using (3). Finally, the highest score is chosen as the similarity score and the corresponding shift \( k \) is recorded as the best shift (that is, the best rotation).

We applied a fast search for the best shift. This algorithm consists of the following steps:

1. 5 circular shifts (\( k = -12, -6, 0, 6, 12 \)) are applied to \( T(m,n) \) and the similarity scores \( S^{(R,T_k)} \) are calculated. The maximum value of \( S^{(R,T_k)} \) is denoted as \( S_1 \) and its corresponding shift \( k \) is denoted as \( k_1 \);
2. 2 circular shifts (\( k = k_1 - 2, k_1 + 2 \)) are applied to \( T(m,n) \), and the similarity scores \( S^{(R,T_{k_1})} \) are calculated. The maximum value of \( S^{(R,T_{k_1})} \) and \( S_1 \) is denoted as \( S_2 \), and its corresponding shift \( k \) is denoted as \( k_2 \);
3. 2 circular shifts (\( k = k_2 - 1, k_2 + 1 \)) are applied to \( T(m,n) \), and the similarity scores \( S^{(R,T_{k_2})} \) are calculated. The maximum value of \( S^{(R,T_{k_2})} \) and \( S_2 \) is denoted as \( S_{\text{final}} \).

Using this fast rotation shift search algorithm, only 9 shift trials need to be tested, instead of 31 shift trials for an exhaustive search. After these steps, the value \( S_{\text{final}} \) is recorded as the final similarity score between \( R \) and \( T \).
4. Experiments

The simulations are designed to examine the performance – measured in Equal Error Rates – of different comparison strategies used in vein recognition. Three datasets, which main properties are described in Table 1, will give a broad basis for conclusions about the proposed approach of using spectral minutiae as features.

4.1. Databases

The SNIR and SFIR databases were gathered in 2006 in Singapore’s Nanyang Technological University and contain a subset of samples that were used in several publications [18, 19]. The two parts contain 732 back hand vein samples in the near infrared and 173 in the far infrared spectrum from 122 respectively 34 data subjects.

A third database UC3M is used for the experiment, it was collected in 2010 in the University Carlos III of Madrid. The dataset consists of 348 vein images in the near infrared spectrum from the wrist area of 29 data subjects.

One limitation of the datasets is that they are captured during only one session, which limits the variability in the signals.

4.2. Comparison Strategies

Table 2 shows the comparison strategies used in the simulations, those are: Hausdorff distance, Modified Hausdorff (MHD) [19, 7], Similarity-based Mix-matching (SMM)[5] and the proposed SML correlation (SMLC) as well as the SML fast rotate (SMLFR) strategy.

The selection of comparison strategies covers a range of different features that are used, the Hausdorff as well as the MHD algorithms use the location of the minutiae points directly. The SML algorithm introduced in Section 3 works with the spectral minutiae representation of the minutiae location. The approaches are introduced in detail in Section 3.2.

The SMM algorithm proposed by Chen et al. uses geometrical properties of image skeletons and segmented images to overcome problems with affine transformations. Similarity-based Mix-matching, compensates small translation and rotation errors by comparing the segmented version of the reference sample with the image skeleton of the test sample. The computational effort is higher than the feature point based approaches.

For the simulations all minutiae point locations are used as one concatenated feature vector, not distinguishing between end- or branch points.

4.3. Performance Evaluation

The evaluation is based on database simulations using the Equal Error Rate (EER) as metric, the proposed system of preprocessing and feature point detection from Section 2 is used. During the skeletonization approach using the fast marching algorithm the images from the UC3M database are pruned using a radial threshold of 15, whereas the threshold was set to 75 for the other datasets, which showed good results on the stability of the skeletons.

Within the simulations the maximum number of genuine comparisons as indicated in Table 1 and all imposter comparisons were taken into account for the EER calculations and plots.

All the simulation results are summarized in Table 2. The Receiver Operation Characteristics (ROC) are plotted in Figure 3, Hausdorff performance is not included for obvious reasons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>SNIR</th>
<th>SFIR</th>
<th>UC3M</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hausdorff</td>
<td>34.65%</td>
<td>25.84%</td>
<td>42.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHD†</td>
<td>1.13%</td>
<td>3.88%</td>
<td>10.61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMM‡</td>
<td>0.14%</td>
<td>1.24%</td>
<td>1.18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMLC</td>
<td>1.35%</td>
<td>3.60%</td>
<td>6.13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMLFR</td>
<td>1.62%</td>
<td>4.33%</td>
<td>5.90%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Evaluation of the proposed solution in comparison to other comparison strategies in Equal Error Rates. †Modified Hausdorff distance as proposed in [19, 7]. ‡Similarity-based Mix-matching [5].

In addition to the performance evaluation statistical information regarding the number of extracted minutiae, as well as the skeletons were extracted and summarized in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>SNIR</th>
<th>SFIR</th>
<th>UC3M</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Avg. # of Endpoints</td>
<td>16.42</td>
<td>18.32</td>
<td>62.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. # of Branch points</td>
<td>56.93</td>
<td>28.82</td>
<td>87.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. Skeleton length</td>
<td>3146.23</td>
<td>2157.4</td>
<td>3594.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Statistics about the average number of end and branch points, as well as the average skeleton length (in pixels) for the different datasets.

5. Conclusions

From the simulations we can see, that the proposed system for vein pattern recognition is able to extract features of reasonable quality for all datasets. The evaluation states a performance of the spectral minutiae approach at the same level as reference measures based on point-to-point comparisons like the MHD, and in the case of the UC3M dataset both SMLC and SMLFR exceed MHD performance; the simple Hausdorff distance measure does not give satisfactory results on any of the data sets. Only the computationally more complex SMM approach, that works on the skeleton and image level, can outperform the proposed solution.
## Table 1. Properties of the biometric vein datasets used in the experimental section.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>SNIR</th>
<th>SFIR</th>
<th>UC3M*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frequency Band</td>
<td>NIR</td>
<td>FIR</td>
<td>NIR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modality</td>
<td>Back of Hand (2)</td>
<td>Back of Hand (2)</td>
<td>Wrist (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Subjects</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sessions</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Images per Session</td>
<td>2 × 3</td>
<td>2 × ∼ 3</td>
<td>2 × 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Images</td>
<td>732</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genuine Comparisons</td>
<td>732</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution (px)</td>
<td>(644 × 492)$\downarrow$</td>
<td>320 × 240</td>
<td>(640 × 480)$\downarrow$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth</td>
<td>8 Bit gray-scale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Details published in [14]. $\downarrow$ Image size reduced by 50% in each dimension for experiments.

The fast rotation comparison is not improving the performance compared to the direct correlation measure, it can be assumed that the samples are only fairly rotated to each other. This can be due the fact that the databases are gathered during only one session without drastic changes of the hand position or due to the sensor layout.

It can be stated, that the proposed solution stays competitive in performance compared to other point-to-point based approaches with the additional advantage of producing fixed-length feature vectors, that are compatible with state-of-the-art template protection systems. Future work is focused on combining the biometric modality of vein recognition, where the sensitive information is hidden inside the body, with the proposed system and the Helper Data Scheme – as a privacy enhancing technology – resulting in a secure system fulfilling strict legislative requirements and user expectations regarding privacy.
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